Ks. prof. Lluis Oviedo – 4-15 listopada 2019 r.

Formularz opisu przedmiotu (formularz sylabusa) na studiach wyższych, doktoranckich, podyplomowych i kursach dokształcających

A. Ogólny opis przedmiotu

A. Ogólny opis przedmiotu Nazwa pola	Komentarz
Name of the subject (in Polish	Nowe naukowe badania religii: szanse i wyzwania
and English)	The new scientific study of religion: opportunities and challenges
Unit offering the subject	Faculty of Theology
Unit for which the subject is	All faculties
offered	
Subject code	
ISCED code	
Number of ECTS credits	3
Method of assessment	Credit with a grade
Language of instruction	English
Designation whether a subject	no
may be credited more than	
Allocation of the subject to	University wide courses
Allocation of the subject to subject groups	University-wide courses
Total student workload	Contact hours with teacher:
Total student workload	np.
	- participation in lectures - 15 hrs
	- consultations- 15 hrs
	Self-study hours:
	np.
	- preparation for lectures – 15 hrs
	- writing essays/ papers/ projects- 20 hrs
	- reading literature- 25 hrs
	Altogether: 90 hrs (3 ECTS)
Learning outcomes -	W1: Knowledge of the basic contours of the contemporary approaches
knowledge	to the scientific study of religion
	W2: Comprehensive knowledge about the methods applied
	W3: Comprehensive knowledge of the main theories offered: cognitive
	(CSR) and biological-evolutionist
	W4: Comprehensive knowledge of the criticism moved regrading those
	views.
	W5: Students are familiar with contemporary key texts of CSR and
	adaptative study of religion.
Learning outcomes - skills	U1: Students are able to explain the main scientific accounts of
Zearing outcomes - skins	religion
	I LEIIRIOII
	U2: Students are able to critically understand contemporary
	discussions about scientific approaches to religion and their impact
	discussions about scientific approaches to religion and their impact
	U3: Students are able to see how the discussions about CSR become
	1
	relevant for theology

U4: Students are able to make connections between theology of faith and naturalistic approaches to religion
U5: Students are able to identify how the new scientific developments can result in a better knowledge of faith and credibility.
K1: Students are able to explain the most influential proposals in CSR and evolutionist theories of religion
K2: Students are able to explain how theology can grow in critical engagement with those developments
K3: Students are able to identify merits ad shortcomings in those theories
K4: Students are able to read and competently comment on influential contemporary accounts to naturalistic views of religion.
Expository teaching methods: - informative lecture - group work
none
Recent developments in the scientific study of religion have displaced sociology and traditional psychology and claim they can apply more reliable empirical and experimental methods and frame the data in better and updated scientific models that render their explanations much more reliable and powerful. Often that program smacks of reductionism, and finishes 'explaining away' religious faith and behaviour. Theology should pay attention to such attempts and move critically towards the most dangerous proposals, but at the same time try to learn from them.
This course will try to inform students about fresh developments in cognitive science of religion (CSR) and biological-evolutionary theories trying to explain religious beliefs and behaviours in a completely naturalistic way. Indeed, the first issue consists in spotting the relevance that such program could have for theology, since most theologians just ignore it as completely strange and distant from the theological method and project. The proposed approach is both, constructive and critical, since many ideas turn out to become useful for a theology trying to deal with the mystery of faith and to provide credibility to its contents. At the same time, this engagement is inscribed inside the broad program of science and faith and the pressing need to render our faith meaningful in a more scientifically driven culture. The main topics to be discussed are: - Main points and theories in the scientific study of religion: CSR and biological views. - Methodological issues involved: reduction and pluralism. - Theological interest on those views. - Critical points and flaws in those theories and their application. - What can theology learn from all that? - Trying a more multidisciplinary and multi-level study of religion
- Pascal Boyer, Religion explained: The Evolutionary Origins of Religious Thought, New York: Basic Books, 2001 Scott Atran, In Gods we Trust: The Evolutionary Landscape of Religion, Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2002.

	- Hans Eyghen, New Developments in the Cognitive Science of Religion, Dordrecht: Springer, 2018. Lluis Oviedo, Religion, Scientific Study of, http://www.inters.org/religion-scientific-study
Assessment methods & criteria	Assessment methods: -attendance and participation: 50% (50 pts) -final paper (ca. 2000 words or 4 pages): 50% (50 pts)
	Assessment criteria: In the final paper the student is expected first and foremost to demonstrate that he is able to understand and summarize the topics discussed during the lectures and in the bibliography.
	fail- 60 pts (60 %) satisfactory- 65 pts (65%) satisfactory plus-70 pts (70%) good – 75 pts (75%) good plus- 80 pts (80%) very good- 85 pts (85%)
Work placement	not applicable

B) Opis przedmiotu cyklu

b) Opis przedmiotu cykiu		
Nazwa pola	Komentarz	
Didactic cycle	2019/2020	
Method of assessment of the	As in part A	
subject in the cycle		
Type of classes, number of hours	As in part A	
of classes and methods of		
assessment		
Subject coordinator	Prof. Lluis Oviedo	
Subject teachers	Prof. Lluis Oviedo	
Nature of the subject	University-wide courses	
Limit of places available in each	1 group(s)- 70 students	
group		
Time and place	Faculty of Theology, 4-15 November 2019	
Number of hours using distance	0	
learning methods and techniques		
Subject website	-	
Learning outcomes	If identical with part A please write "As in part A"	
Assessment methods & criteria	If identical with part A please write "As in part A"	
List of topics	If identical with part A please write "As in part A"	
Teaching methods	If identical with part A please write "As in part A"	
Literature	If identical with part A please write "As in part A"	